

bre

building a better world

**Weathertightness test to
BS 6375: Part 1: 2009 on
a Smart Systems Ltd
Alitherm 300 window
with opening and fixed
lights**

Prepared for: Mr. M. Walford

Smart Systems Ltd

20 April 2011

Test report number 270707-1



0578

Page 1 of 17

Tested on behalf of BRE by

Name Malcolm Pound
Position Laboratory Manager and Senior Consultant, Actions, Building Technology
Date 18 April 2011

Signature *M. C. Pound*

Prepared on behalf of BRE by

Name Malcolm Pound
Position Laboratory Manager and Senior Consultant, Actions, Building Technology
Date 20 April 2011

Signature *M. C. Pound*

Approved on behalf of BRE

Name Dr. P. Blackmore
Position Associate Director of Actions, Building Technology
Date 21 April 2011

Signature *P. Blackmore*

BRE
Garston
WD25 9XX
T + 44 (0) 1923 664000
F + 44 (0) 1923 664010
E enquiries@bre.co.uk
www.bre.co.uk

BRE is not UKAS accredited to make
opinions and interpretation. Any opinions
and interpretations included as part of this
report are clearly marked as such.



0578

This report may only be distributed in its entirety and in accordance with the terms and conditions of the contract. Test results relate only to the items tested. BRE has no responsibility for the design, materials, workmanship or performance of the product or items tested. This report does not constitute an approval, certification or endorsement of the product tested.

This report is made on behalf of BRE. By receiving the report and action on it, the client – or any third party relying on it – accepts that no individual is personally liable in contract, tort or breach of statutory duty (including negligence).

1 Introduction

At the request of Mr. M. Walford of Smart Systems Ltd, Arnolds Way, Yatton, North Somerset, BS49 4UN, BRE issued proposal number 129043 on 17 March 2011. The proposal was accepted on 15 April and BRE tested a specimen window on 18 April 2011.

The tests to methods in BS 6375: Part 1: 2009, BS EN 1026¹, 1027² and 12211³ measure the weathertightness of the specimen in terms of air permeability, watertightness and resistance to wind load respectively. Classification of the results is based on BS 6375: Part 1: 2009⁴ and BS EN's 12207⁵, 12208⁶, 12210⁷.

The tests on the specimen were carried out under the BRE Standard Terms and Conditions of Business for testing and to the UKAS BRE Specific Procedures Series F, as BRE Job number 270707 in project number CV4578.

The tests were witnessed by:

Mr. M. Walford Smart Systems Ltd

2 Details of tests carried out

BS 6375: Part 1: 2009 specifies that the air permeability test is performed under both positive and negative test pressures and that the average of the measurements defines the results. It also specifies that water tightness test method A is used and that deflections measured during the resistance to wind load test do not exceed 1/150 of the span. The weathertightness test comprised of three parts in the sequence:

1. Air permeability to BS EN 1026: 2000; by application of a series of test air pressure differentials across the specimen with measurement of the air permeability of it at each pressure step. The maximum positive and negative pressure differential was 600 Pa reached in pressure steps of 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 450 and 600 Pa.
2. Watertightness to BS EN 1027: 2000; by applying specified amounts of water spray to the outside face of the specimen while incrementally increasing the air pressure differential across it. The test pressure, time and position of any water penetration are recorded. The maximum positive air pressure differential was 1050 Pa. Pressure (Pa)/time (min) steps were 0/15, 50/5, 100/5, 150/5, 200/5, 250/5, 300/5, 450/5, 600/5, 750/5, 900/5, 1050/5 and 1200/5.
3. Resistance to wind load to BS EN 12211: 2000; by application of a series of positive and negative test air pressures. Measurements and inspections are made to assess relative frontal deflection and resistance to damage from wind loads.

The resistance to wind load test includes a deflection test, a repeated pressure test and operational test, an air permeability test and finally a safety test. For the purpose of the resistance to wind load test three test pressures are defined:

- P1 applied to measure the deflections of parts of the test specimen.
- P2 50 cycles of pulsating pressure to assess performance under repeated wind loads.
- P3 applied to assess the safety of the test specimen under extreme conditions.

The values of P1, P2 and P3 are related as follows: $P2 = 0.5P1$, $P3 = 1.5P1$.
For these tests the values are: $P1 = 2400$ Pa, $P2 = 1200$ Pa and $P3 = 3600$ Pa.

Note: The repeat air permeability test is an integral part of the resistance to wind load test and its significance is as an indicator of damage that may occur during that test.

3 Classification of results

BS 6375: Part 1: 2009 classifies the results for products in the UK. For a window to be included in an exposure category the appropriate test pressures for air permeability, watertightness and resistance to wind shall be attained or exceeded. The relevant product standard BS EN 14351-1:2006⁸ also states that classification of air permeability is based on the averages of the positive and negative air leakage values at each pressure step.

The specimen was tested to an exposure category of 2000+ (2400 Pa). The classifications set in BS 6375: Part 1: 2009 for an exposure category of 2000+ are: Air permeability at Class 2/300 Pa or Classes 3 and 4 when tested to 600 Pa, watertightness at Class 7A/300 Pa and resistance to wind load at P1 2400 Pa, P2 1200 Pa and P3 3600 Pa.

The BS EN classifications are explained below:

Air permeability: BS EN 12207: 1999. The classification is based on a comparison of the air permeability of the test specimen related to both overall area and length of opening joint. There are four classes; Class 4 is applicable to the most airtight specimens while Class 1 describes those with most air leakage. To meet any class the measured air permeability of the specimen must not exceed the upper limit at any test pressure step in that class.

Watertightness: BS EN 12208: 2000. The classification is based on a comparison of the watertightness of the test specimen related to test pressures and duration of the test. There are nine classes; 1A/1B up to 9A for test pressures from 0 Pa to 600 Pa. For specimens that remain watertight over 600 Pa for 5 minutes a class Exxx is used. The xxx is the maximum test pressure e.g. 750 Pa. To meet any class the specimen must remain watertight for 5 minutes up to and at the test pressure set for that class.

Resistance to wind load: BS EN 12210: 1999. The classification is based on a comparison of the resistance to wind loads of the test specimen when subjected to test pressures P1, P2 and P3. There are five classes; 1 up to 5 for P1 test pressures from 400 Pa to 2000 Pa. For specimens that are tested to P1 pressures exceeding 2000 Pa a class Exxxx is used. The xxx is the actual test pressure P1 used e.g. 2400 Pa. To achieve any class the resistance of the specimen to wind load must meet all the requirements for that class.

Note: This report has results for air permeability under positive and negative test pressures and a graph showing the average air permeability for them at each pressure step.

4 Test specimen

The general details about the test specimen supplied by Smart Systems Ltd for these tests are given below and in drawings in the Annex of this report

- Type:** Aluminium frame members with a top hung and side hung outward opening lights and one fixed light. Reference: Smart Systems Ltd, 1600 wide mm x 1200 mm high Alitherm 300 Casement window.
- Glazing:** The lights are all externally glazed with insulating glass units with 4 mm thick toughened glass and a 20 mm air gap. Aluminium beads retain the glazing seals and the glazing.
- Seals:** There are compression type seals on the opening light frames and on the window frame. A sealant is applied to corner joints of the seals and other sealant is applied to the joints around the glazing beads and on frame joints.
- Hardware:** Lockable handles on the opening lights operate four espagnolette locking points and two shoot bolts. The opening lights are hung on Securistyle variable geometry friction stays.
- Fixings:** For these tests the specimen was fixed and sealed into a wood surround frame with screws at the top, bottom and sides.
- Detail:** There are two drainage holes in the underside of each bottom rail on the opening lights and two slots in the window frame members below each light. Drainage is through the subsill via holes in the sill nose.
- Dimensions:** 1600 mm wide x 1200 mm high (overall). Area: 1.92 m²
Length of opening joint = 5.94 m



5 Test rig and preparatory procedures

The test specimen was conditioned for at least 4 hours within temperature and humidity ranges specified in the test standards of 10°C to 30°C and 25% to 75% RH respectively.

The water temperature in the watertightness test was within the specified range of 4°C to 30°C.

The window was mounted in the BRE test rig 'G', to form one wall of a pressure box, with the outdoor face of the window enclosed in the box.

A single spray bar with four full circular cone nozzles was mounted in the pressure box to apply water to the outside face of the specimen at the rate of 2 L/min per nozzle in accordance with BS EN 1027 spraying method 1A.

Transducers were mounted on independent supports to measure deflections of a frame member retaining an insulating glass unit. Deflections were measured on the span at the positions indicated in Figure 1.

6 Summary of test results

The test results are summarised in Table 1 below for an exposure category of 2000+. Figures show detail of the window and detailed results are given in Annex 1.

| BS or BS EN | Air permeability | | Watertightness | | Resistance to wind loads | |
|----------------|----------------------|---|-----------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|
| | Requirements | Results | Requirement | Results | Requirements | Results |
| BS 6375 | Class 2 to 300 Pa | Met the requirement of Class 4 based on the averages of readings in positive and negative tests | Class 7A at 300 Pa | Met & exceeded the require – ments. At Class E1200 at 1200 Pa | Class AE2400 P1 = 2400 Pa P2 = 1200 Pa P3 = 3600 Pa | All met. Class AE2400 |

Table 1. Summary of weathertightness test results



7 Conclusions

When the specimen Smart Systems Ltd, 1600 wide mm x 1200 mm high Alitherm 300 Casement Window was tested to the standards described herein it was found to be:

- Sufficiently airtight to attain Class 4 when the averages of the readings under positive and negative test pressures were considered, thus **meeting** the BS 6375: Part 1: 2009 requirements.
- Resistant to water penetration using method 1A to Class E1200 up to and at 1200 Pa thus **meeting and exceeding** the BS 6375: Part 1: 2009 requirements.
- Resistant to wind loads of ± 2400 Pa causing deflections less than 1/150 of the span of a window light stile. Resistant to repeated pressure cycles of ± 1200 Pa and able to sustain the corresponding safety test pressure of ± 3600 Pa. The overall classification for resistance to wind load is Class AE2400 that **meets** the requirements of Class AE2400 in BS 6375: Part 1: 2009.

8 References

1. BS EN 1026: 2000. Windows and doors – Air permeability – Test method. British Standards Institution, London.
2. BS EN 1027: 2000. Windows and doors – Watertightness – Test method. British Standards Institution, London.
3. BS EN 12211: 2000. Windows and doors – Resistance to wind load – Test method. British Standards Institution, London.
4. BS 6375: Part 1: 2009. Performance of windows and doors – Classification for weathertightness and guidance on selection and specification
5. BS EN 12207: 2000. Windows and doors – Air permeability - Classification. British Standards Institution, London.
6. BS EN 12208: 2000. Windows and doors – Watertightness - Classification. British Standards Institution, London.
7. BS EN 12210: 2000. Windows and doors – Resistance to wind load - Classification. British Standards Institution, London.
8. BS EN 14351-1:2006 Windows and doors – Product standard. British Standards Institution, London

ANNEX 1. Weathertightness test results

| Pressure differential Pa | Air flow through the specimen m ³ /h | Air flow per unit area of the specimen m ³ /h.m ² | Air flow per metre of opening joint m ³ /h.m |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| 50 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 100 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 150 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 200 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| 250 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.02 |
| 300 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.02 |
| 450 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.03 |
| 600 | 0.23 | 0.12 | 0.04 |

Table A1. Air permeability under positive air pressure; test results

| Pressure differential Pa | Air flow through the specimen m ³ /h | Air flow per unit area of the specimen m ³ /h.m ² | Air flow per metre of opening joint m ³ /h.m |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| 50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 100 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 150 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 200 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 250 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 300 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 450 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 600 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.02 |

Table A2. Air permeability under negative air pressure; test results

| Pressure differential Pa | Average air flow per unit area of the specimen m ³ /h.m ² | Average air flow per metre of opening joint m ³ /h.m |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| 50 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 100 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 150 | 0.015 | 0.010 |
| 200 | 0.020 | 0.010 |
| 250 | 0.035 | 0.020 |
| 300 | 0.040 | 0.020 |
| 450 | 0.060 | 0.025 |
| 600 | 0.070 | 0.030 |

Table A3. Averages of air permeabilities under positive and negative air pressures; test results




Figure A1. Air permeability under positive and negative air pressure; test results

Watertightness test

| Pressure differential Pa | Duration Minutes | Water leaks |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| 0 | 15 | Nil |
| 50 | 5 | Nil |
| 100 | 5 | Nil |
| 150 | 5 | Nil |
| 200 | 5 | Nil |
| 250 | 5 | Nil |
| 300 | 5 | Nil |
| 450 | 5 | Nil |
| 600 | 5 | Nil |
| 750 | 5 | Nil |
| 900 | 5 | Nil |
| 1050 | 5 | Nil |
| 1200 | 5 | Nil |

Test laboratory conditions: Air pressure 1020 mb. Relative humidity 46.3% at 19.4°C
Air temperature 19.4°C. Test chamber air temperature 20.0°C. Water temperature 19°C

Table A4. Watertightness test results

Resistance to wind load – Deflection test at ± 2400 Pa

| Position deflection measured | Positive pressure P1 to +2400 Pa | | Negative pressure P1 to - 2400 Pa | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| | Deflection | | Deflection | |
| | mm | defl./span | mm | defl./span |
| Left hand stile on the side hung light | 0.58 | 1/1862 | 0.99 | 1/1091 |

Note: The deflection at the mid-point of a member is measured relative to its ends, e.g. with reference to Figure 1: Deflection at the mid-point = deflection at the mid-point – average of deflections at the two ends of the same member.

Table A5. Deflections measured on left hand stile in the resistance to wind load test at ±2400Pa.

Resistance to wind load – Repeated pressure test including the second air permeability test

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Repeated pressure | Damage or functional defects |
| 50 cycles to P2 at ± 1200 Pa | None |

Table A6. Damage or functional defects after repeated pressures to P2 at ± 1200 Pa

Second air permeability test under positive air pressures (part of resistance to wind load test)

| Pressure differential Pa | Air flow through the specimen m^3/h | Air flow through the specimen as measured previously m^3/h | Comparison to the air permeability measured previously (see Table A1) |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--|
| 50 | 0.00 | 0.01 | After the test pressures P1 and P2 were applied the amounts of air flowing through the test specimen were not significantly different to those measured previously |
| 100 | 0.02 | 0.01 | |
| 150 | 0.05 | 0.04 | |
| 200 | 0.06 | 0.05 | |
| 250 | 0.10 | 0.09 | |
| 300 | 0.09 | 0.11 | |
| 450 | 0.18 | 0.19 | |
| 600 | 0.23 | 0.23 | |

Table A7. Second air permeability test results under positive air pressures

Second air permeability test under negative air pressures (part of resistance to wind load test)

| Pressure differential Pa | Air flow through the specimen m^3/h | Air flow through the specimen as measured previously m^3/h | Comparison to the air permeability measured previously (see Table A2) |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--|
| 50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | After the test pressures P1 and P2 were applied the amounts of air flowing through the test specimen were not significantly different to those measured previously |
| 100 | 0.02 | 0.00 | |
| 150 | 0.04 | 0.02 | |
| 200 | 0.06 | 0.03 | |
| 250 | 0.11 | 0.05 | |
| 300 | 0.08 | 0.05 | |
| 450 | 0.10 | 0.06 | |
| 600 | 0.14 | 0.07 | |

Table A8. Second air permeability test results under negative air pressures



Resistance to wind load - Safety test

| Safety test | Condition after test |
|--|--|
| One pressure pulse to pressure: P3 at - then + 3600 Pa | No parts became detached and the test specimen remained closed |

Table A9. Condition of the window after the safety test to P3 at ± 3600 Pa




Figure A1. Smart Systems Alitherm 300 casement window in the BRE Test rig 'G'




Figure A2. Smart Systems Alitherm 300 casement window showing points where deflections were measured

For further information about BRE

BRE

Garston
Watford
WD25 9XX
T +44 (0)1923 664000
F +44 (0)1923 664010
E enquiries@bre.co.uk

BRE Scotland

Scottish Enterprise Technology Park
East Kilbride
Glasgow
G75 0RZ
T +44 (0)1355 576200
F +44 (0)1355 576210
E enquiries@bre.co.uk
www.bre.co.uk

